

Appl. No. 10/817,411
Amdt. dated Dec. 15, 2006
Reply to Office action of Sep. 15, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 15 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-4 are the present application.

Claims 1, 3 & 4 are indicated allowable.

Claim 2 has been rejected as indefinite under 35 USC 112 In that the claimed reaction is seen as not clear as to the order of adding reagents and the meaning of *rt* therein.

The Office Action suggests that claim 2 be rewritten in text rather than symbolically to more clearly define the scope of claim 2.

This has been done as shown and the above rejection is believed met and is thus traversed.

In view of the foregoing, all of the claims of record, are believed sufficiently definite and novel over the cited reference.

In accordance with Section 714.01 of the M.P.E.P., the following information is presented in the event that a call may be deemed desirable by the Examine, who can reach the undersigned at (937) 904-5779.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas C. Stover, Reg. No. 22,531
Attorney for Applicant(s)
(937) 904-5779
(937) 255-3733 (fax)